

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
9/7/76

Ben Bradlee
Washington Post
1150 15 St., NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Mr. Bradlee,

This one I owe you and the Post.

I leave the interpretations to you.

You may recall I sent you a carbon of the letter I wrote Les Whitten about gross inaccuracies and being used. And about the time I'm willing to take ~~me~~ be of help to those who want accuracy in what they take to the country. Do take the time.

About the time Bob Woodward called me about the advance-warning story on the JFK assassination, perhaps the same day, Mark Solonsky also called me on what is in the Anderson column you ran today.

I knew you did not run the whole column. I had that in today's NYPost read to me this afternoon.

At first I did not recognize the Ruby crap that had been fed Anderson from some declassified CIA files. When I was reminded I phoned him. I think it was a week ago Monday. It had been some time since I read those records. But there are more of them.

The source of the Ruby nonsense, as I remember the name, was a Britisher of bad reputation, a psycho and one with an axe to bury in Castro. After the original reports the CIA did some checking in London. I've paraphrased the results.

Or, a totally undependable source and a single source, regardless of what wishful thinking is added to it. I had already cautioned the column that it was being fed old stuff, what you had printed long ago, little of this as you print. On the Ruby propaganda, which is what it is, Solonsky told me he knew of those other reports. I offered him access to my files.

I also told him that back in 1968 I had three or four long interviews with an American soldier of fortune who had been jailed with Trafficante, that he had made no mention of this and that if it had happened or if he'd thought to make it up he'd have sold it.

While I can't recall as brief a bit of writing with as many disclaimers the omission of that one can't be accidental.

There is no single part of this column that can be believed. It very obviously has invisible auspices. But the auspices does not excuse the departure from normal standards and common honesty.

The disgust I feel is in addition ^{to} that I feel for this whole wretched campaign to make it appear that JFK got himself killed - and deserved it.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg